

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/841,373	04/24/2001	Lenny Low	PA-Y0049	5868	
7:	590 06/03/2003				
Joyce Kosinski			EXAMINER		
Loral Space and Communications, Ltd. 655 Deep Valley Drive, Suite 303 Rolling Hills Estates, CA 90274			PATEL, 1	PATEL, NIHIR B	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3743	8	
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{V}}$				
,	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/841,373	LOW ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
The MAIL NO DATE And the Control of	Nihir Patel	3743				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4)☐ Claim(s) is/are pending in the applicatio	ın					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ition No.				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) 🗍 Intensions Surress	p./PTO 412) Pages No.(2)				
2) Notice of Preferences Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s) I Patent Application (PTO-152)				
S. Patent and Trademark Office	_					

Application/Control Number: 09/841,373

Art Unit: 3743

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Esposto US Patent No. 5,743,325 in view of Yee US Patent No. 6,478,258.

Esposto discloses the applicant's invention as claimed with the exception of providing one or more-heat-pipes that cross couple opposite facing payload radiators.

Yee discloses spacecraft multiple loop heat pipe thermal system for internal equipment panel applications that does provide one or more heat pipes that cross couple opposite facing payload radiators (see column 2 lines 25-30). Therefore it would be obvious to modify Esposto's invention by providing one or more heat pipes that cross couple opposite facing payload radiators in order to increase the cooling process.

Claims 3, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over combined teachings of Esposto US Patent NO. 5,743,325 and Caplin US Patent No. 5,806,800.

Esposto discloses the applicant's invention as claimed with the exception of providing a body and a plurality of solar arrays.

Application/Control Number: 09/841,373

Art Unit: 3743

Page 3

Caplin discloses a dual function deployable radiator cover that does provide a body 12 (see figure 1) and a plurality of solar arrays 18 (see figure 1). Therefore it would be obvious to modify Esposto's invention by providing a body and a plurality of solar arrays in order for the invention to function properly.

Conclusion

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Nihir Patel whose telephone number is (703) 306-3463. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:30 am to 4:30 pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful the examiner supervisor Henry Bennett can be reached at (703) 308-0101.

NP

May 20, 2003

Hen Bennett

Supervisory Patent Exam